# An avatar-based system for identifying individuals likely to develop dementia



Bahman Mirheidari<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Blackburn<sup>3</sup>, Kirsty Harkness<sup>4</sup>, Traci Walker<sup>5</sup>, Annalena Venneri<sup>3,7</sup>, Markus Reuber<sup>6</sup>, and Heidi Christensen<sup>1,2</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, <sup>2</sup>Centre for Assistive Technology and Connected Healthcare (CATCH), <sup>3</sup>Sheffield Institute for Translational Neuroscience (SITraN), <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, <sup>5</sup>Department of Human Communication Science, <sup>6</sup>Academic Neurology Unit, University of

Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; <sup>7</sup>IRCCS Fondazione Ospedale San Camillo, Venice, Italy

## Introduction

## Dementia

- General term for a broad category of *decline in mental ability*
- Starts with subtle *word finding difficulties*, a decline in thinking or memorising; aggravates over time and ultimately leads to *loss of communication*.

## Experiment

#### Data

- A) Neurologist-patient conversations: Audio files and transcriptions of interviews of 15 FMD and 15 ND participant-doctor consultations
- B) Avatar-patient conversations: Audio files and

#### **C.Classification and feature selection**

Table 3: Classification accuracy; <u>man</u>':using gold-standard transcript instead of ASR-produced transcripts; CA': CA-style features; AC':acoustic features; LX':lexical features; T10':top 10 informative features.

| Train/Test | CA    | AC    | LX    | ALL   | <b>T10</b> |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| A          | 96.7% | 83.3% | 66.7% | 76.7% | 100%       |
| В          | 76.7% | 60.0% | 50.0% | 76.7% | 90.0%      |
| С          | 58.3% | 66.7% | 83.3% | 66.7% | 75.0%      |
| D          | 72.7% | 63.6% | 63.6% | 81.8% | 72.7%      |
| E          | 63.6% | 54.5% | 63.6% | 90.9% | 72.7%      |

- Challenging to diagnose due to the lack of *reliable bio-markers*, *overlapping symptoms* with normal ageing and *low accuracy* of existing cognitive (*"pen-and-paper"*) screening tools
- Effect on language ([1, 2]) includes: *loss of vocabulary*, impoverished and *simplified syntax/semantics*, and overuse of semantically
  *empty words*
- Conversation analysis (CA) [3] (an approach to study social interaction/communication ability) has been used for people with dementia (e.g. [4, 5]), but it requires audio/video recording, transcribing, and a qualitative analysis (carried out by an *expert*); time-consuming and relatively expensive and not applicable for large scale use

transcriptions of conversations of 6 FMD and 6 ND participant with the Avatar.

## Features

- Conversation Analysis inspired[3]: 20 features, e.g. patient answered me for who's most concerned question, average number of empty words (CA is an approach to study social interaction/ communication ability of people which has been used recently for people with dementia (e.g. [4, 5])
- Acoustic: 12 features, e.g. silence, intonation, pitch, H1-H2
- Lexical (Part of Speech): 12 features, e.g. number of verbs, nouns adverbs, etc

#### Avatar system

- Avatar head animation: Botlibre (https://www.botlibre.com).
- Avatar voice: Pre-recorded human voice

| and the second |
|----------------|
| A. C.          |
| 1 2            |
|                |

A: HUM\_man/HUM\_man B:HUM/HUM C:AVA\_man+HUM\_man/AVA\_man D:AVA\_man+HUM\_man/AVA E:AVA+HUM\_man/AVA

### **D.Differences between the two conversations**



Figure 3: Histogram of the average turn length.

## **Research questions**

- Is it *feasible* to develop an *automatic tool* to help doctors in diagnosing dementia? What kind of *speech, text and ML technologies* and tools can be used for designing such a system?
- Task is to classify between two types of memory diseases with very similar symptoms:
  *neudegenerative dementia (ND)* and *functional memory disorder (FMD)*
- To what extent it is *feasible* to use *an avatar* front-end to elicit conversational diagnostic features?

# **Dementia detection system**

- Diarisation (*who talks when*) (SHoUT toolkit)
- Automatic speech recognition (ASR) (Kaldi toolkit)



# Timer 00:14

Figure 2: Prototype avatar

Recording ...

Question 10/12

Repeat Next

# Results

A.Speech recognition

### Table 1:Speech recognition results.

| System         | Train      | Test | WER   |
|----------------|------------|------|-------|
| Baseline_HUM   | HUM        | HUM  | 55.7% |
| Baseline_AVA   | AVA        | AVA  | 77.0% |
| Cross domain   | HUM        | AVA  | 65.0% |
| MAP adaptation | Map on HUM | AVA  | 58.7% |
| Combining data | HUM+AVA    | AVA  | 46.2% |

## **Conclusions and further work**

## Challenges

- Spontaneous speech resulting in ASR with high WER
- Background noise, mic far from patient
- Challenging *diarisation task*, high DER
- Large number of *overlapping segments*
- Lack of *feedback from the Avatar*, resulted in long turn responses

### Conclusions

- We have proposed a fully *automatic* system for detecting dementia
- *Feasible* of replacing the neurologists with the Avatar
- Low cost of the potential tool to stratify patients with memory complaints

- Feature extraction (NLTK python + Praat toolkit)
- Machine learning classifier (SVM from Scikit-learn python)



Figure 1: Block diagram of dementia detection system

## **B.Diarisation**

DER: Diarisation Error Rate, a common metric to measure the performance of a diarisation tool , including the missing speaker error:  $E_{MISS}$ , false alarm: $E_{FA}$ , and speaker error: $E_{SPKR}$ . W-DER: Word diarisation error, extending the diarisation error to the words recognised by the ASR.

Table 2: DER and W-DER

| Data   | E <sub>MISS</sub> | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{FA}}$ | E <sub>SPKR</sub> | DER   | W - DER |
|--------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| HUM_di | a 2.7%            | 14.9%                      | 12.8%             | 30.4% | 5.7%    |
| AVA_di | a 11.6%           | 6.9%                       | 11.1%             | 29.6% | 16.8%   |

#### Future work

- Expanding to include more feature set
- *Improving* the ASR, diarisation and feature extraction
- Improving the Avatar to make it more responsive

## *References*

- [1] Bayles, K.A. Kaszniak, A.W.(1987).Communication and cognition in normal aging and dementia. Taylor Francis Ltd London.
- [2] Tang-Wai, D.F. Graham, N.L.(2008). Assessment of Language Function in Dementia.Geriatrics and Aging,11, 103-110.
- B] Lerner, G.H. (2004). Conversation Analysis: studies from the first generation. Amsterdam John Benjamins Pub.
- 4] Kindell, J., Sage, K., Keady, J. Wilkinson, R.(2013). Adapting to conversation with semantic dementia: Using enactment as a compensatory strategy in everyday social interaction. International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders, 48, 497-507.
- [5] Elsey, C., Drew, P., Jones, D., Blackburn, D., Wakefield, S., Harkness, K., Venneri, A. Reuber, M.(2015). Towards diagnostic conversational proles of patients presenting with dementia or functional memory disorders to memory clinics.Patient Education and Counseling,98, 1071-1077.